arXiv:math/0212304v1 [math.NT] 21 Dec 2002

EXPLICIT UNOBSTRUCTED PRIMES FOR MODULAR DEFORMATION PROBLEMS OF SQUAREFREE LEVEL

TOM WESTON

Dedicated to the memory of Arnold Ephraim Ross

ABSTRACT. Let f be a newform of weight at least 2 and squarefree level with Fourier coefficients in a number field K. We give explicit bounds, depending on congruences of f with other newforms, on the set of primes λ of K for which the deformation problem associated to the mod λ Galois representation of f is obstructed. We include some explicit examples.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let f be a newform of weight $k \geq 2$, level N, and character ω. Let K be the number field generated by the Fourier coefficients of f. For any prime λ of K Deligne has constructed a semisimple Galois representation

$$\bar{\rho}_{f,\lambda} : G_{\mathbf{Q},S\cup\{\ell\}} \to \mathrm{GL}_2\, k_\lambda$$

over the residue field k_λ of K at λ; here $G_{\mathbf{Q},S\cup\{\ell\}}$ is the Galois group of the maximal extension of $\mathbf{Q}$ unramified outside the set S of places dividing $N\infty$ and the characteristic ℓ of k_λ. The representation $\bar{\rho}_{f,\lambda}$ is absolutely irreducible for almost all primes λ; we write $\mathrm{Red}(f)$ for the set of λ such that $\bar{\rho}_{f,\lambda}$ is not absolutely irreducible.

Following Mazur, we say that a prime $\lambda \notin \mathrm{Red}(f)$ is an *obstructed prime* for f if the cohomology group $H^2(G_{\mathbf{Q},S\cup\{\ell\}}, \mathrm{ad}\,\bar{\rho}_{f,\lambda})$ of the adjoint representation of $\bar{\rho}_{f,\lambda}$ is non-zero. We write $\mathrm{Obs}(f)$ for the set of such primes. The importance of this notion rests on the fact that for $\lambda \notin \mathrm{Obs}(f) \cup \mathrm{Red}(f)$, the universal deformation ring associated to $\bar{\rho}_{f,\lambda}$ is isomorphic to a power series ring in three variables over the Witt vectors of k_λ; see Section 2 for details.

It was shown in [15] that $\mathrm{Obs}(f)$ is finite for f of weight $k \geq 3$. In this paper we obtain an explicit bound on $\mathrm{Obs}(f)$ in the case that the level N of f is squarefree. We state our result here only for $N > 1$; see Section 4.2 for the general statement (where we also allow $k = 2$ and S non-minimal) and a partial converse.

Theorem. *Assume that $k \geq 3$ and that $N > 1$ is squarefree. Let M denote the conductor of the Dirichlet character ω. Then*

$$\mathrm{Obs}(f) \subseteq \left\{\lambda \mid \ell\,;\, \ell \leq k+1 \text{ or } \ell \mid N\varphi(N) \prod_{p \mid \frac{N}{M}} (p+1)\right\} \cup \mathrm{Cong}(f)$$

with $\mathrm{Cong}(f)$ the set of congruence primes for f (as defined in Section 4.1) and φ the Euler totient function.

Supported by an NSF postdoctoral fellowship.

We note that the set $\mathrm{Cong}(f)$ is computable using the results of [13] and a tool such as [12]. It is not immediately clear to the author what form to expect the analogue of this result for to take for N not squarefree.

In Section 2 we give a brief review of deformation theory and use standard duality arguments to reduce the vanishing of $H^2(G_{\mathbf{Q},S}, \mathrm{ad}\,\bar{\rho}_{f,\lambda})$ to the vanishing of certain local and global cohomology groups. The local groups are the subject of Section 3; the computations rest on some simple cases of the local Langlands correspondence. In Section 4.1 we use results of Hida (as refined in [6]) to relate the global cohomology group to a certain Selmer group studied by Diamond, Flach, and Guo. The main results of the paper are proved in Section 4.2. We give several explicit examples in Section 5.

It is a pleasure to thank Matthias Flach, Elena Mantovan, Robert Pollack, and Ken Ribet for helpful conversations related to this paper.

Notation. If $\rho : G \to \mathrm{GL}_2 R$ is a representation of a group G over a ring R, we write $\mathrm{ad}\,\rho : G \to \mathrm{GL}_4 R$ for the adjoint representation of G on $\mathrm{End}(\rho)$ and $\mathrm{ad}^0\rho : G \to \mathrm{GL}_3 R$ for the kernel of the trace map from $\mathrm{ad}\,\rho$ to the trivial representation. If $\rho : G \to \mathrm{GL}_n R$ is any representation, we write $H^i(G, \rho)$ for the cohomology group $H^i(G, V_\rho)$ with V_ρ a free R-module of rank n with G-action via ρ.

We write $G_{\mathbf{Q}}$ for the absolute Galois group of $\mathbf{Q}$. We fix now and forever embeddings $\bar{\mathbf{Q}} \hookrightarrow \bar{\mathbf{Q}}_p$ for each p, yielding injections $G_p \hookrightarrow G_{\mathbf{Q}}$ with G_p the absolute Galois group of $\mathbf{Q}_p$. We write I_p for the inertia subgroup of G_p. Let $\varepsilon_\ell : G_{\mathbf{Q}} \to \mathbf{Z}_\ell^\times$ be the ℓ-adic cyclotomic character and let $\bar{\varepsilon}_\ell : G_{\mathbf{Q}} \to \mathbf{F}_\ell^\times$ be its reduction, the mod ℓ Teichmüller character. If M is a $\mathbf{Z}_\ell[G_{\mathbf{Q}}]$-module, we write $M(1)$ for its first Tate twist $M \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}_\ell} \varepsilon_\ell$. If S is a set of places of $\mathbf{Q}$ containing the infinite place, the expression "$p \in S$" is to be interpreted as "$p \in S - \{\infty\}$".

2. Obstructions

2.1. **Deformation theory.** In this section we review the fundamentals of the deformation theory of representations of profinite groups as in [9]. Let k be a finite field and let $\mathcal{C}$ denote the category of local rings which are inverse limits of artinian local rings with residue field k; a morphism $A \to B$ in $\mathcal{C}$ is a continuous local homomorphism inducing the identity map on residue fields. Note that any ring A in $\mathcal{C}$ is canonically an algebra for the Witt vectors $W(k)$ of k.

Let G be a profinite group and fix an absolutely irreducible continuous representation

$$\bar{\rho} : G \to \mathrm{GL}_n k$$

for some $n \geq 1$. A *lifting* of $\bar{\rho}$ to a ring A in $\mathcal{C}$ is a continuous representation $\rho : G \to \mathrm{GL}_n A$ such that the composition

$$G \xrightarrow{\rho} \mathrm{GL}_n A \longrightarrow \mathrm{GL}_n k$$

is equal to ρ. Two liftings ρ_1, ρ_2 of $\bar{\rho}$ are said to be *strictly equivalent* if there is a matrix M in the kernel of $\mathrm{GL}_n A \to \mathrm{GL}_n k$ such that $\rho_1 = M \cdot \rho_2 \cdot M^{-1}$.

A *deformation* of $\bar{\rho}$ to A is a strict equivalence class of liftings. Let

$$D_{\bar{\rho}} : \mathcal{C} \to \mathrm{Sets}$$

be the functor sending a ring A to the set of deformations of $\bar{\rho}$ to A. The deformation functor $D_{\bar{\rho}}$ is representable by [9, Section 1.2]; that is, there is a ring $R_{\bar{\rho}}$ in $\mathcal{C}$ (called

the *universal deformation ring* of $\bar\rho$) and an isomorphism of functors

$$D_{\bar\rho}(-) \cong \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(R_{\bar\rho}, -). \tag{2.1}$$

Note that via (2.1) the identity map on $R_{\bar\rho}$ corresponds to a deformation

$$\rho^{\mathrm{univ}} : G \to \mathrm{GL}_n R_{\bar\rho}$$

of $\bar\rho$ to $R_{\bar\rho}$; this is the *universal deformation* of $\bar\rho$, and the isomorphism (2.1) sends $f : R_{\bar\rho} \to A$ to the deformation $f \circ \rho^{\mathrm{univ}}$ of $\bar\rho$ to A.

The next proposition gives the fundamental connection between the deformation problem $D_{\bar\rho}$ and the cohomology groups $H^i(G, \mathrm{ad}\,\bar\rho)$. We say that $D_{\bar\rho}$ is *unobstructed* if $H^2(G, \mathrm{ad}\,\bar\rho) = 0$.

Proposition 2.1. *Assume that $H^i(G, \mathrm{ad}\,\bar\rho)$ is finite-dimensional over k for each i; set $d = dim_k H^1(G, \mathrm{ad}\,\bar\rho)$. Then there exists a (non-canonical) surjection*

$$W(k)[[T_1, \dots, T_d]] \twoheadrightarrow R_{\bar\rho} \tag{2.2}$$

with kernel generated by at most $\dim_k H^2(G, \mathrm{ad}\,\bar\rho)$ elements. In particular, if $D_{\bar\rho}$ is unobstructed, then (2.2) is an isomorphism.

Proof. This is proved in [9, Section 1.6]. The existence of the surjection (2.2) follows from an isomorphism

$$D_{\bar\rho}\big(k[\epsilon]/\epsilon^2\big) \xrightarrow{\simeq} H^1(G, \mathrm{ad}\,\bar\rho)$$

(sending a deformation ρ to the cocycle c_ρ such that $\rho(g) = \bar\rho(g)(1 + \epsilon \cdot c_\rho(g))$ for all $g \in G$) and the interpretation of these groups as the tangent space of $R_{\bar\rho}$ via (2.1). The statement about the kernel J of (2.2) follows from an injection

$$\mathrm{Hom}(J, k) \hookrightarrow H^2(G, \mathrm{ad}\,\bar\rho)$$

constructed using an obstruction two-cocycle measuring the failure of ρ^{univ} to lift via (2.2). □

The next lemma will be useful later in the paper.

Lemma 2.2. *Let $\bar\rho : G \to \mathrm{GL}_2 k$ be continuous and absolutely irreducible and let $\chi : G \to k^\times$ be a character of order at least 3. Then $H^0(G, \chi \otimes \mathrm{ad}\,\bar\rho) = 0$.*

Proof. If the image of $\bar\rho$ is dihedral, then the G-representation $\mathrm{ad}\,\bar\rho$ is the sum of the trivial character, a quadratic character, and an irreducible two-dimensional representation of G. If the image of $\bar\rho$ is not dihedral, then $\mathrm{ad}\,\bar\rho$ is the sum of the trivial character and an irreducible three-dimensional representation of G. In either case the lemma follows since χ is neither trivial nor quadratic. □

2.2. **Galois cohomology.** Let k be a finite field of odd characteristic ℓ. We now apply the discussion of the previous section to the case of a two-dimensional Galois representation over k. Fix a finite set S of places of $\mathbf{Q}$ including ℓ and the infinite place. Let $\mathbf{Q}_S$ denote the maximal extension of $\mathbf{Q}$ unramified outside S; set $G_{\mathbf{Q},S} := \mathrm{Gal}(\mathbf{Q}_S/\mathbf{Q})$. Let

$$\bar\rho : G_{\mathbf{Q},S} \to \mathrm{GL}_2 k$$

be continuous and absolutely irreducible. We assume further that $\bar\rho$ is *odd* in the sense that the image of complex conjugation has distinct eigenvalues. In this section we study the cohomology groups $H^i(G_{\mathbf{Q},S}, \mathrm{ad}\,\bar\rho)$.

Lemma 2.3. *Each cohomology group $H^i(G_{\mathbf{Q},S}, \operatorname{ad}\bar{\rho})$ is finite-dimensional over k and*

$$\dim_k H^1(G_{\mathbf{Q},S}, \operatorname{ad}\bar{\rho}) - \dim_k H^2(G_{\mathbf{Q},S}, \operatorname{ad}\bar{\rho}) = 3.$$

Proof. The first statement is [10, Corollary 4.15], while the second is a straightforward calculation using Tate's global Euler characteristic formula as in [9, Section 1.10]. □

Corollary 2.4. *If $H^2(G_{\mathbf{Q},S}, \operatorname{ad}\bar{\rho}) = 0$, then the universal deformation ring $R_{\bar{\rho}}$ is (non-canonically) isomorphic to $W(k)[[T_1, T_2, T_3]]$.*

We will use global duality theorems of Poitou and Tate to study $H^2(G_{\mathbf{Q},S}, \operatorname{ad}\bar{\rho})$. For a $k[G_{\mathbf{Q},S}]$-module M, define

$$Ш^1(G_{\mathbf{Q},S}, M) := \ker\bigl(H^1(G_{\mathbf{Q},S}, M) \to \bigoplus_{p \in S} H^1(G_p, M)\bigr).$$

Lemma 2.5. *One has*

$$\dim_k H^2(G_{\mathbf{Q},S}, \operatorname{ad}\bar{\rho}) \leq \dim_k Ш^1(G_{\mathbf{Q},S}, \bar{\varepsilon}_\ell \otimes \operatorname{ad}^0\bar{\rho}) + \sum_{p \in S} \dim_k H^0(G_p, \bar{\varepsilon}_\ell \otimes \operatorname{ad}\bar{\rho})$$

with equality if $\ell \neq 3$.

Proof. The trace pairing $\operatorname{ad}\bar{\rho} \otimes \operatorname{ad}\bar{\rho} \to k$ identifies $\bar{\varepsilon}_\ell \otimes \operatorname{ad}\bar{\rho}$ with the Cartier dual of $\operatorname{ad}\bar{\rho}$. Thus by [10, Theorem 4.10] there is an exact sequence

$$0 \to H^0(G_{\mathbf{Q},S}, \bar{\varepsilon}_\ell \otimes \operatorname{ad}\bar{\rho}) \to \bigoplus_{p \in S} H^0(G_p, \bar{\varepsilon}_\ell \otimes \operatorname{ad}\bar{\rho}) \to \operatorname{Hom}\bigl(H^2(G_{\mathbf{Q},S}, \operatorname{ad}\bar{\rho}), k\bigr) \to Ш^1(G_{\mathbf{Q},S}, \bar{\varepsilon}_\ell \otimes \operatorname{ad}\bar{\rho}) \to 0.$$

Since $\bar{\varepsilon}_\ell \otimes \operatorname{ad}\bar{\rho} = \bar{\varepsilon}_\ell \oplus (\bar{\varepsilon}_\ell \otimes \operatorname{ad}^0\bar{\rho})$ and $Ш^1(G_{\mathbf{Q},S}, \bar{\varepsilon}_\ell)$ vanishes by [14, Lemma 10.6], the lemma follows from the exact sequence and Lemma 2.2. □

We will study the local terms $H^0(G_p, \bar{\varepsilon}_\ell \otimes \operatorname{ad}\bar{\rho})$ in Section 3. The global term $Ш^1(G_{\mathbf{Q},S}, \bar{\varepsilon}_\ell \otimes \operatorname{ad}^0\bar{\rho})$ is difficult to control directly; instead we now relate it to a certain Selmer group, which in turn is often computable using the results of Section 4.1.

Fix a totally ramified extension K of the field of fractions of $W(k)$. The ring of integers $\mathcal{O}$ of K lies in $\mathcal{C}$; we write $\mathfrak{m}$ for its maximal ideal. Let $\rho : G_{\mathbf{Q},S} \to \mathrm{GL}_2\mathcal{O}$ be a lifting of $\bar{\rho}$ to $\mathcal{O}$. Let V_ρ (resp. A_ρ) denote a three-dimensional K-vector space (resp. $(K/\mathcal{O})^3$) endowed with a $G_{\mathbf{Q},S}$-action via $\operatorname{ad}^0\rho : G_{\mathbf{Q},S} \to \mathrm{GL}_3\mathcal{O}$.

Let V (resp. A) denote either V_ρ (resp. A_ρ) or else its Tate twist. For a prime p, define

$$H^1_f(G_p, V) := \begin{cases} H^1(G_p/I_p, V^{I_p}) & p \neq \ell; \\ \ker\bigl(H^1(G_p, V) \to H^1(G_p, V \otimes B_{\mathrm{crys}})\bigr) & p = \ell; \end{cases}$$

regarded as a K-subspace of $H^1(G_p, V)$; here B_{crys} is the crystalline period ring of Fontaine. Let $H^1_f(G_p, A)$ denote the image of $H^1_f(G_p, V)$ under the pushforward from $H^1(G_p, V)$ to $H^1(G_p, A)$. For M denoting either of V or A, the *Selmer group* of M is defined by

$$H^1_f(G_{\mathbf{Q}}, M) := \bigl\{c \in H^1(G_{\mathbf{Q}}, M)\,;\, c|_{G_p} \in H^1_f(G_p, M) \text{ for all } p\bigr\}.$$

Following [3, Section 7], we will also need a slight variant of this construction. Define

$$H^1_w(G_p, A) := \begin{cases} H^1(G_p/I_p, A^{I_p}) & p \neq \ell; \\ H^1_f(G_\ell, A) & p = \ell; \end{cases}$$

$$H^1_\emptyset(G_{\mathbf{Q}}, A) := \big\{ c \in H^1(G_{\mathbf{Q}}, A)\,;\, c|_{G_p} \in H^1_w(G_p, A) \text{ for all } p \big\}.$$

Clearly one has $H^1_f(G_p, A) \subseteq H^1_w(G_p, A)$ for all p, so that

$$H^1_f(G_{\mathbf{Q}}, A) \subseteq H^1_\emptyset(G_{\mathbf{Q}}, A). \tag{2.3}$$

In fact, this inclusion is an equality if A^{I_p} is divisible for all $p \neq \ell$.

Lemma 2.6. *Assume that $\ell > 3$ and $H^1_f(G_{\mathbf{Q}}, V_\rho) = H^1_f(G_{\mathbf{Q}}, V_\rho(1)) = 0$. Then*

$$\dim_k Ш^1(G_{\mathbf{Q},S}, \bar\varepsilon_\ell \otimes \mathrm{ad}^0\bar\rho) \leq \dim_k H^1_\emptyset(G_{\mathbf{Q},S}, A_\rho)[\mathfrak{m}].$$

Proof. Since ρ is a lifting of $\bar\rho$, the $k[G_{\mathbf{Q},S}]$-module $A_\rho(1)[\mathfrak{m}]$ is a realization of $\bar\varepsilon_\ell \otimes \mathrm{ad}^0\bar\rho$. We thus obtain a natural map

$$H^1(G_{\mathbf{Q}}, \bar\varepsilon_\ell \otimes \mathrm{ad}^0\bar\rho) = H^1\big(G_{\mathbf{Q}}, A_\rho(1)[\mathfrak{m}]\big) \to H^1\big(G_{\mathbf{Q}}, A_\rho(1)\big) \tag{2.4}$$

which is injective by Lemma 2.2. The image of $Ш^1(G_{\mathbf{Q},S}, \bar\varepsilon_\ell \otimes \mathrm{ad}^0\bar\rho)$ under (2.4) is easily seen to lie in $H^1_f(G_{\mathbf{Q}}, A_\rho(1))$, so that we obtain an injection

$$Ш^1(G_{\mathbf{Q},S}, \bar\varepsilon_\ell \otimes \mathrm{ad}^0\bar\rho) \hookrightarrow H^1_f\big(G_{\mathbf{Q}}, A_\rho(1)\big). \tag{2.5}$$

By [5, Theorem 1], the latter group is (non-canonically) isomorphic to $H^1_f(G_{\mathbf{Q}}, A_\rho)$ (see [15, Proposition 2.2]; this also uses the assumption on the vanishing of the Selmer group of V_ρ and $V_\rho(1)$). The lemma thus follows from (2.5) and (2.3). □

Remark 2.7. The only difficulty in analyzing the failure of (2.5) to be an isomorphism on $\mathfrak{m}$-torsion is the determination of the image of the restriction map

$$H^1_f\big(G_{\mathbf{Q}}, A_\rho(1)\big)[\mathfrak{m}] \to H^1_f\big(G_\ell, A_\rho(1)\big)[\mathfrak{m}].$$

Unfortunately, this question appears to be quite difficult in general.

3. Local invariants

Let $f = \sum a_n q^n$ be a newform of weight $k \geq 2$, squarefree level N, and character ω. Let K be the number field generated by the Fourier coefficients a_n of f. For any prime λ of K, Deligne has constructed a continuous λ-adic Galois representation

$$\rho_{f,\lambda} : G_{\mathbf{Q}} \to \mathrm{GL}_2 K_\lambda.$$

This representation is unramified at $p \nmid N\ell$ (with ℓ the characteristic of the residue field k_λ of K_λ) and for such p the trace (resp. the determinant) of the image of an arithmetic Frobenius element Frob_p under $\rho_{f,\lambda}$ is equal to a_p (resp. $p^{k-1}\omega(p)$).

As usual we identify $\omega : (\mathbf{Z}/N\mathbf{Z})^\times \to \mu_{\varphi(N)}$ with a Galois character via the canonical isomorphism $\mathrm{Gal}(\mathbf{Q}(\mu_N)/\mathbf{Q}) \cong (\mathbf{Z}/N\mathbf{Z})^\times$; the determinant of $\rho_{f,\lambda}$ is then $\varepsilon_\ell^{k-1}\omega$. Let M denote the conductor of ω and let $\omega_0 : (\mathbf{Z}/M\mathbf{Z})^\times \to \mu_{\varphi(M)}$ be the associated primitive Dirichlet character. Then ω is ramified at p if and only if p divides M, in which case the restriction of ω to the inertia group I_p is a non-trivial character taking values in μ_{p-1}.

For the remainder of this section we fix a prime λ of K dividing a rational prime ℓ. Let

$$\bar{\rho}_{f,\lambda} : G_{\mathbf{Q}} \to \mathrm{GL}_2 k_\lambda$$

be the semisimple reduction of $\rho_{f,\lambda}$; this is well-defined independent of any choice of integral model of $\rho_{f,\lambda}$. We are interested in the local invariants $H^0(G_p, \bar{\varepsilon}_\ell \otimes \mathrm{ad}\, \bar{\rho}_{f,\lambda})$ for all primes p. As

$$\bar{\varepsilon}_\ell \otimes \mathrm{ad}\, \bar{\rho}_{f,\lambda} \cong \bar{\varepsilon}_\ell \oplus (\bar{\varepsilon}_\ell \otimes \mathrm{ad}^0 \bar{\rho}_{f,\lambda})$$

and

$$H^0(G_p, \bar{\varepsilon}_\ell) \neq 0 \ \Leftrightarrow \ p \equiv 1 \pmod{\ell},$$

we will restrict our attention below to the case that ℓ does not divide $p-1$ and to the study of $H^0(G_p, \bar{\varepsilon}_\ell \otimes \mathrm{ad}^0 \bar{\rho}_{f,\lambda})$.

In the analysis below we make use of the local Langlands correspondence and the compatibility results completed in [1]. Rather than review these results in detail, we will only recall the consequences we need; see [15] for more details and references.

3.1. $\boldsymbol{p \nmid N\ell}$. Fix $\alpha_p, \beta_p \in \bar{K}$ with $\alpha_p + \beta_p = a_p$ and $\alpha_p \beta_p = p^{k-1}\omega(p)$. In this case, we have

$$\rho_{f,\lambda}|_{G_p} \otimes \bar{K}_\lambda \cong \chi_1 \oplus \chi_2$$

where the $\chi_i : G_p \to \bar{K}_\lambda^\times$ are unramified characters with

$$\chi_1(\mathrm{Frob}_p) = \alpha_p; \qquad \chi_2(\mathrm{Frob}_p) = \beta_p. \tag{3.1}$$

We write $\bar{\chi}_i : G_p \to \bar{k}_\lambda^\times$ for the reduction of χ_i.

Lemma 3.1. *Assume $p \nmid N\ell$ and $p \not\equiv 1 \pmod{\ell}$. Then $H^0(G_p, \bar{\varepsilon}_\ell \otimes \mathrm{ad}\, \bar{\rho}_{f,\lambda}) \neq 0$ if and only if $a_p^2 \equiv (p+1)^2 p^{k-2}\omega(p) \pmod{\lambda}$.*

Proof. Since the existence of eigenvectors with k_λ-rational eigenvalues is invariant under base extension, the existence of G_p-invariants in $\bar{\varepsilon}_\ell \otimes \mathrm{ad}^0 \bar{\rho}_{f,\lambda}$ is equivalent to the existence of G_p-invariants in

$$\left(\bar{\varepsilon}_\ell \otimes \mathrm{ad}^0 \bar{\rho}_{f,\lambda}|_{G_p}\right) \otimes \bar{k}_\lambda \cong \bar{\varepsilon}_\ell \oplus \bar{\varepsilon}_\ell \bar{\chi}_1 \bar{\chi}_2^{-1} \oplus \bar{\varepsilon}_\ell \bar{\chi}_1^{-1} \bar{\chi}_2.$$

As $p \not\equiv 1 \pmod{\ell}$, this has non-trivial G_p-invariants if and only if one of the characters $\bar{\varepsilon}_\ell \bar{\chi}_1 \bar{\chi}_2^{-1}$, $\bar{\varepsilon}_\ell \bar{\chi}_1^{-1} \bar{\chi}_2$ is trivial. By (3.1) this occurs if and only if

$$\frac{\alpha_p}{\beta_p} \equiv p^{\pm 1} \pmod{\lambda}.$$

This in turn is equivalent to

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\alpha_p}{\beta_p} + \frac{\beta_p}{\alpha_p} &\equiv p + \frac{1}{p} && \pmod{\lambda} \\ \frac{(\alpha_p + \beta_p)^2}{\alpha_p \beta_p} &\equiv \frac{(p+1)^2}{p} && \pmod{\lambda} \\ a_p^2 &\equiv (p+1)^2 p^{k-2}\omega(p) && \pmod{\lambda} \end{aligned}$$

as claimed. □

3.2. $\boldsymbol{p \mid M}$, $\boldsymbol{p \neq \ell}$. In this case the p-component π_p of the automorphic representation associated to f has conductor 1 and ramified central character. It follows that π_p is a principal series representation associated to one ramified character and one unramified character. On the Galois side, this translates to

$$\rho_{f,\lambda}|_{G_p} \otimes \bar{K}_\lambda \cong \chi_1 \oplus \chi_2$$

for continuous characters $\chi_i : G_p \to \bar{K}_\lambda^\times$ with χ_1 ramified and χ_2 unramified. Since $\rho_{f,\lambda}|_{G_p}$ has determinant $\varepsilon_\ell^{k-1}\omega|_{G_p}$, we have $\chi_1\chi_2 = \varepsilon_\ell^{k-1}\omega|_{G_p}$. In particular $\chi_1|_{I_p} = \omega|_{I_p}$ is a non-trivial character taking values in μ_{p-1}. If $p \not\equiv 1 \pmod{\ell}$, then μ_{p-1} injects into $k_\lambda^\times$ and consequently the reduction $\bar{\chi}_1 : G_p \to \bar{k}_\lambda^\times$ is still ramified at p.

Lemma 3.2. *Assume $p \mid M$, $p \neq \ell$, and $p \not\equiv 1 \pmod{\ell}$. Then $H^0(G_p, \bar{\varepsilon}_\ell \otimes \operatorname{ad}\bar{\rho}_{f,\lambda}) = 0$.*

Proof. As in Lemma 3.1, it suffices to show that the two characters $\bar{\varepsilon}_\ell\bar{\chi}_1\bar{\chi}_2^{-1}$, $\bar{\varepsilon}_\ell\bar{\chi}_1^{-1}\bar{\chi}_2$ are non-trivial. Since $\bar{\varepsilon}_\ell$ and $\bar{\chi}_2$ are unramified at p while $\bar{\chi}_1$ is ramified at p, this is clear. □

3.3. $\boldsymbol{p \mid \frac{N}{M}}$, $\boldsymbol{p \neq \ell}$. In this case π_p has conductor 1 and unramified central character. It follows that π_p is the special representation associated to an unramified character. This means that there exists an unramified character $\chi : G_p \to \bar{K}_\lambda^\times$ such that

$$\rho_{f,\lambda}|_{G_p} \otimes \bar{K}_\lambda \cong \begin{pmatrix} \varepsilon_\ell\chi & * \\ 0 & \chi \end{pmatrix} \tag{3.2}$$

with the upper right corner ramified.

Lemma 3.3. *Assume $p \mid \frac{N}{M}$, $p \neq \ell$, and $p^2 \not\equiv 1 \pmod{\ell}$. Then $H^0(G_p, \bar{\varepsilon}_\ell \otimes \operatorname{ad}\bar{\rho}_{f,\lambda}) \neq 0$ if and only if $\bar{\rho}_{f,\lambda}$ is unramified at p.*

Proof. Since $p^2 \not\equiv 1 \pmod{\ell}$, by [15, Lemma 5.1] we have

$$\bar{\rho}_{f,\lambda}|_{G_p} \otimes \bar{k}_\lambda \cong \begin{pmatrix} \bar{\varepsilon}_\ell\bar{\chi} & \nu \\ 0 & \bar{\chi} \end{pmatrix}$$

for some $\nu : G_p \to \bar{k}_\lambda$; in fact, one checks directly that $\bar{\chi}^{-1}\nu$ is naturally an element of $H^1(G_p, \bar{k}_\lambda(1))$. Since $\bar{\varepsilon}_\ell$ and $\bar{\chi}$ are unramified, $\bar{\rho}_{f,\lambda}|_{G_p}$ is unramified if and only if $\bar{\chi}^{-1}\nu$ is unramified. However, since $p \not\equiv 1 \pmod{\ell}$ every non-zero element of $H^1(G_p, \bar{k}_\lambda(1))$ is ramified. We conclude that $\bar{\rho}_{f,\lambda}|_{G_p}$ is unramified if and only if it is semisimple. [15, Lemma 5.2] now completes the proof. □

Lemma 3.4. *Assume $p \mid \frac{N}{M}$, $p \neq \ell$, $p^2 \not\equiv 1 \pmod{\ell}$, and $\bar{\rho}_{f,\lambda}$ absolutely irreducible. Then $H^0(G_p, \bar{\varepsilon}_\ell \otimes \operatorname{ad}\bar{\rho}_{f,\lambda}) \neq 0$ if and only if there exists a newform f', of weight k and level dividing $\frac{N}{p}$, such that $\bar{\rho}_{f,\bar{\lambda}} \cong \bar{\rho}_{f',\bar{\lambda}}$ for some prime $\bar{\lambda}$ of $\bar{\mathbf{Q}}$ over λ.*

Here by $\bar{\rho}_{f,\bar{\lambda}}$ (resp. $\bar{\rho}_{f',\bar{\lambda}}$) we mean $\bar{\rho}_{f,\lambda} \otimes \bar{k}_\lambda$ (resp. $\bar{\rho}_{f',\lambda'} \otimes \bar{k}'_{\lambda'}$ with λ' the intersection of $\bar{\lambda}$ with the field K' of Fourier coefficients of f' and with $k'_{\lambda'}$ the residue field of K' at λ'.)

Proof. By [4, (B) of p. 221], the existence of such an f' is equivalent to $\bar{\rho}_{f,\lambda}$ being unramified at p. Thus the lemma follows from Lemma 3.3. □

Remark 3.5. If one further assumes that $p' \not\equiv 1 \pmod{\ell}$ for all p' dividing N, then the newform f' of Lemma 3.4 must have level a multiple of M and character lifting ω_0, so that λ is a congruence prime for f of level dividing $\frac{N}{p}$ in the terminology of Section 4.1. Indeed, $\bar{\rho}_{f',\lambda}$ is isomorphic to $\bar{\rho}_{f,\lambda}$ and thus has determinant $\bar{\varepsilon}_\ell^{k-1}\bar{\omega}$; therefore the character ω' of f' must have reduction equal to $\bar{\omega}$. However, since $p \not\equiv 1 \pmod{\ell}$ for all p dividing N, the only such characters of conductor dividing N are those which lift ω_0. Thus f' must have level divisible by M and character lifting ω_0, as claimed.

3.4. $\boldsymbol{p = \ell,\ \ell \nmid N}$**.** We now give some mild improvements on the results of [15, Section 4] on the vanishing of $H^0(G_\ell, \bar{\varepsilon}_\ell \otimes \operatorname{ad} \bar{\rho}_{f,\lambda})$. Recall that $f = \sum a_n q^n$ is said to be *ordinary* (resp. *supersingular*) at λ if $v_\lambda(a_\ell) = 0$ (resp. $v_\lambda(a_\ell) > 0$), with v_λ the λ-adic valuation. If f is ordinary at λ, then the semisimplification of $\rho_{f,\lambda}|_{I_\ell} \otimes \bar{K}_\lambda$ is isomorphic to $\varepsilon_\ell^{k-1} \oplus 1$, while if f is supersingular at λ, then $\bar{\rho}_{f,\lambda}|_{G_\ell}$ is absolutely irreducible. (This all follows from the discussion of [4, pp. 214–215], for example.)

Lemma 3.6. *Assume $\ell \nmid N$. If f is ordinary at λ and $H^0(G_\ell, \bar{\varepsilon}_\ell \otimes \operatorname{ad} \bar{\rho}_{f,\lambda}) \neq 0$, then $k \equiv 0, 2 \pmod{\ell - 1}$.*

Proof. It suffices to prove the corresponding result for the I_ℓ-invariants of the semisimplification of $(\bar{\varepsilon}_\ell \otimes \operatorname{ad} \bar{\rho}_{f,\lambda}) \otimes \bar{k}_\lambda$. By the above discussion this semisimplification is isomorphic to

$$\bar{\varepsilon}_\ell \oplus \bar{\varepsilon}_\ell \oplus \bar{\varepsilon}_\ell^{k} \oplus \bar{\varepsilon}_\ell^{2-k}.$$

Since $\bar{\varepsilon}_\ell$ has order $\ell - 1$, the lemma follows. □

Note that the above lemma is vacuous in the case of weight 2.

Lemma 3.7. *Assume $\ell \nmid N$. If f is supersingular at λ and $\ell > 3$, then $H^0(G_\ell, \bar{\varepsilon}_\ell \otimes \operatorname{ad} \bar{\rho}_{f,\lambda}) = 0$.*

Proof. As $\bar{\rho}_{f,\lambda}|_{G_\ell}$ is absolutely irreducible, this is immediate from Lemma 2.2. □

4. Global results

We continue with a newform $f = \sum a_n q^n$ of weight k, squarefree level N, and character ω of conductor M as in Section 3. Let $\mathcal{O}$ be the ring of integers of the field K of Fourier coefficients of f. For each prime λ of K, let $V_{\rho,\lambda}$ be a three-dimensional K_λ-vector space with $G_{\mathbf{Q}}$-action via $\operatorname{ad}^0 \rho_{f,\lambda}$. Fix a $G_{\mathbf{Q}}$-stable $\mathcal{O}_\lambda$-lattice $T_{\rho,\lambda}$ in $V_{\rho,\lambda}$ and set $A_{\rho,\lambda} := V_{\rho,\lambda}/T_{\rho,\lambda}$. In general the $k_\lambda[G_{\mathbf{Q}}]$-module $A_{\rho,\lambda}[\lambda]$ need not agree with the semisimple reduction $\bar{\rho}_{f,\lambda}$; however, these two representations must be isomorphic when $\bar{\rho}_{f,\lambda}$ is absolutely irreducible, which is the only case we will consider below.

4.1. **Congruences and Selmer groups.** The purpose of this section is to explain how the results of [7] (as refined in [6]) and [3] relate adjoint Selmer groups with congruences of modular forms. Let d be a divisor of N which is divisible by M. We say that a prime λ of K is a *congruence prime of level d* for f if there exists a newform f' of weight k and level d such that:

(1) f' has character lifting ω_0;
(2) f' is not a Galois conjugate of f;
(3) $\bar{\rho}_{f,\bar{\lambda}} \cong \bar{\rho}_{f',\bar{\lambda}}$ for some prime $\bar{\lambda}$ of $\bar{\mathbf{Q}}$ above λ.

(Of course, by the Cebatorev density theorem the last condition is equivalent to a congruence $a_p(f) \equiv a_p(f') \pmod{\bar{\lambda}}$ for all primes p not dividing N.)

We say that a congruence prime λ of level d for f is *proper* (resp. *strict*) if $d < N$ (resp. $d = N$). Let $\mathrm{Cong}(f)$ (resp. $\mathrm{Cong}_{<N}(f)$, resp. $\mathrm{Cong}_N(f)$) denote the set of congruence primes (resp. proper congruence primes, resp. strict congruence primes) for f.

We need a simple lemma.

Lemma 4.1. *Let λ be a prime of K dividing a rational prime ℓ not dividing N. Assume that $N > 1$ and that $\bar{\rho}_{f,\lambda}$ is ramified at some p dividing N. If $\bar{\rho}_{f,\lambda}$ is absolutely irreducible, then $\bar{\rho}_{f,\lambda}|_{G_F}$ is absolutely irreducible as well, where $F = \mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{(-1)^{(\ell-1)/2}\ell})$.*

Proof. As in [3, Lemma 7.14], if $\bar{\rho}_{f,\lambda}|_{G_F}$ is absolutely reducible, then $\bar{\rho}_{f,\lambda}$ is induced from a character of G_F. In particular, it follows that the conductor N' of $\bar{\rho}_{f,\lambda}$ (in the sense of [4]) is a square. However, N' must also divide the level N of f; since N' is non-trivial by hypothesis and N is squarefree, this is impossible. □

Proposition 4.2. *Let λ be a prime of K dividing the rational prime ℓ. Assume that:*

1. *$\bar{\rho}_{f,\lambda}$ is absolutely irreducible;*
2. *$\ell > k$;*
3. *Either $N > 1$ or $\ell \nmid (2k-3)(2k-1)$;*
4. *$\ell \nmid N$;*
5. *$\ell \nmid \varphi(N)$ (that is, $p \not\equiv 1 \pmod{\ell}$ for all $p \mid N$);*
6. *$\bar{\rho}_{f,\lambda}$ is ramified at p for all $p \mid \frac{N}{M}$;*

Then $H^1_\emptyset(G_{\mathbf{Q}}, A_{\rho,\lambda}) \neq 0$ if and only if $\lambda \in \mathrm{Cong}_N(f)$.

Proof. Conditions (4)–(6) guarantee that $A_{\rho,\lambda}$ is minimally ramified in the sense of [2, Section 3]. Using (1), (2), (4), and Lemma 4.1 (or (3) and [3, Lemma 7.14] for $N = 1$), we may apply [3, Theorem 7.15] to conclude that

$$\mathrm{length}_{\mathcal{O}_\lambda} H^1_\emptyset(G_{\mathbf{Q}}, A_{\rho,\lambda}) = v_\lambda(\eta_f^\emptyset); \tag{4.1}$$

here $\eta_f^\emptyset$ is the fractional ideal of K defined in [3, Section 6.4] and v_λ is the λ-adic valuation.

By definition, the ideal $\eta_f^\emptyset$ is generated by the discriminant $d(L_f(\mathcal{O}_K))$ of [6, proof of Theorem 5], which in turn equals the square of the algebraic special value of the adjoint L-function of f:

$$d\big(L_f(\mathcal{O}_K)\big) = \left(\frac{W(f)\Gamma(1, \mathrm{ad}\, f)L(1, \mathrm{ad}\, f)}{\Omega(f,+)\Omega(f,-)}\right)^2. \tag{4.2}$$

(All of this is only true up to factors of primes violating (1)–(4).) In particular, (4.1) implies that $H^1_\emptyset(G_{\mathbf{Q}}, A_{\rho,\lambda})$ is non-zero if and only if (4.2) has positive λ-adic valuation. By [6, Theorems 1 and 2], the latter condition is equivalent to the existence of a newform f' of weight k and level dividing N, not Galois conjugate to f, such that $\bar{\rho}_{f,\bar{\lambda}} \cong \bar{\rho}_{f',\bar{\lambda}}$ for some prime $\bar{\lambda}$ above λ.

It remains to show that f' has level N and character ω. Since $\bar{\rho}_{f',\bar{\lambda}}$ has determinant $\bar{\varepsilon}_\ell^{k-1}\bar{\omega}$ and $\mu_{\varphi(N)}$ injects into $k_\lambda^\times$ (by (5)), f' has level divisible by M and character lifting ω_0. Hypothesis (6) guarantees that $\bar{\rho}_{f',\bar{\lambda}}$ is ramified at all $p \mid \frac{N}{M}$ as well, so that f' must in fact have level N. □

4.2. **Vanishing of cohomology.** Let S be a finite set of places of $\mathbf{Q}$ containing all places dividing $N\infty$; let N_S denote the product of all primes in S. Fix a prime λ of K dividing a rational prime ℓ. We are now in a position to compute $H^2(G_{\mathbf{Q},S\cup\{\ell\}}, \operatorname{ad}\bar{\rho}_{f,\lambda})$.

Theorem 4.3. *Assume that $\bar{\rho}_{f,\lambda}$ is absolutely irreducible and $\ell > 3$. If*

$$H^2(G_{\mathbf{Q},S\cup\{\ell\}}, \operatorname{ad}\bar{\rho}_{f,\lambda}) \neq 0, \tag{4.3}$$

then one of the following holds:

1. $\ell \leq k$;
2. $\ell \mid N$;
3. $\ell \mid \varphi(N_S)$;
4. $\ell \mid p+1$ *for some* $p \mid \frac{N}{M}$;
5. $a_p^2 \equiv (p+1)^2 p^{k-2}\omega(p) \pmod{\lambda}$ *for some* $p \mid \frac{N_S}{N}$, $p \neq \ell$;
6. $\ell = k+1$ *and* f *is ordinary at* λ;
7. $k = 2$ *and* $a_\ell^2 \equiv \omega(\ell) \pmod{\lambda}$;
8. $N = 1$ *and* $\ell \mid (2k-3)(2k-1)$;
9. $\lambda \in \operatorname{Cong}(f)$.

Using Lemma 2.5 and the results of Sections 3 and 4.1, the reader should have little difficulty in detecting the source of each of the conditions above. We shall nevertheless endeavor to give a complete proof.

Proof. If (4.3) holds, then Lemma 2.5 implies that either

$$H^0(G_p, \bar{\varepsilon}_\ell \otimes \operatorname{ad}\bar{\rho}_{f,\lambda}) \neq 0 \tag{4.4}$$

for some $p \in S \cup \{\ell\}$ or

$$Ш^1(G_{\mathbf{Q},S}, \bar{\varepsilon}_\ell \otimes \operatorname{ad}\bar{\rho}_{f,\lambda}) \neq 0. \tag{4.5}$$

Suppose first that (4.4) holds for a prime $p \in S \cup \{\ell\}$; we may assume $\ell \nmid N$ by (2). If $H^0(G_p, \bar{\varepsilon}_\ell) \neq 0$, then ℓ divides $p-1$ which in turn divides $\varphi(N_S)$, so that (3) holds. We may thus assume that $p \not\equiv 1 \pmod{\ell}$ and

$$H^0(G_p, \bar{\varepsilon}_\ell \otimes \operatorname{ad}^0\bar{\rho}_{f,\lambda}) \neq 0.$$

By Lemma 3.2 we know that p does not divide M. If p divides $\frac{N}{M}$, then by Lemma 3.4 and Remark 3.5 one of (4) or (9) holds, while if p does not divide $N\ell$, then Lemma 3.1 implies that (5) must hold. Finally, if $p = \ell$ and $k > 2$, then Lemmas 3.6 and 3.7 force (1) or (6) to hold; if $k = 2$, then [15, Proposition 4.4] forces (7) to hold.

It remains to consider the case that (4.5) holds, (4.4) does not hold for any $p \in S \cup \{\ell\}$, and none of (1)–(8) hold. Then by [3, Theorem 8.2]

$$H^1_f(G_{\mathbf{Q}}, V_{f,\lambda}) = H^1_f(G_{\mathbf{Q}}, V_{f,\lambda}(1)) = 0.$$

Lemma 2.6 and (4.5) thus imply that

$$H^1_\emptyset(G_{\mathbf{Q}}, A_{\rho,\lambda}) \neq 0.$$

Since $H^0(G_p, \bar{\varepsilon}_\ell \otimes \operatorname{ad}\bar{\rho}_{f,\lambda}) = 0$ for all p dividing $\frac{N}{M}$, Lemma 3.3 implies that $\bar{\rho}_{f,\lambda}$ is ramified at all such p. Proposition 4.2 now applies to show that $\lambda \in \operatorname{Cong}_N(f)$. Thus (9) holds, completing the proof. □

Corollary 4.4. *If $\bar{\rho}_{f,\lambda}$ is absolutely irreducible, $\ell > 3$, and λ does not satisfy (1)–(9), then*

$$R_{\bar{\rho}_{f,\lambda}} \cong W(k_\lambda)[[T_1, T_2, T_3]].$$

Proof. This follows immediately from Theorem 4.3 and Corollary 2.4. □

We also obtain the following partial converse to Theorem 4.3.

Theorem 4.5. *Assume that $\bar{\rho}_{f,\lambda}$ is absolutely irreducible. Suppose that $\ell > 3$ and one of the following holds:*

1. *$\ell \mid \varphi(N_S)$;*
2. *$a_p^2 \equiv (p+1)^2 p^{k-2}\omega(p) \pmod{\lambda}$ for some $p \mid \frac{N_S}{N}$, $p \neq \ell$;*
3. *λ is a congruence prime for f of level dividing $\frac{N}{p}$ for some $p \mid \frac{N}{M}$, $\ell \nmid p(p+1)$;*
4. *$k = 2$, $\ell \nmid N$, and $a_\ell^2 \equiv \omega(\ell) \pmod{\lambda}$.*

Then $H^2(G_{\mathbf{Q},S\cup\{\ell\}}, \bar{\varepsilon}_\ell \otimes \operatorname{ad}\bar{\rho}_{f,\lambda}) \neq 0$.

Proof. By Lemma 2.5 it suffices to show that these conditions guarantee that $H^0(G_p, \bar{\varepsilon}_\ell \otimes \operatorname{ad}\bar{\rho}_{f,\lambda}) \neq 0$ for some $p \in S$. If (1) holds, then $H^0(G_p, \bar{\varepsilon}_\ell) \neq 0$ for some $p \in S$, so that this is clear. If (2) holds, then by Lemma 3.1 we have $H^0(G_p, \bar{\varepsilon}_\ell \otimes \operatorname{ad}^0\bar{\rho}_{f,\lambda}) \neq 0$. If (1) does not hold but (3) does hold, then Lemma 3.4 implies that $H^0(G_p, \bar{\varepsilon}_\ell \otimes \operatorname{ad}^0\bar{\rho}_{f,\lambda}) \neq 0$. Finally, if (4) holds, then the proof of [15, Proposition 4.4] shows that $H^0(G_\ell, \bar{\varepsilon}_\ell \otimes \operatorname{ad}\bar{\rho}_{f,\lambda}) \neq 0$. □

5. Examples

In this section we use the data of [12] to bound the obstructed primes for the deformation problems associated to a few specific modular forms. Of course, the most interesting aspect of these computations are the determination of congruences between newforms. Using [12] we can check such congruences on the p^{th} Fourier coefficients for all $p < 1000$; by the results of [13] these checks are more than sufficient to prove that these congruences actually exist in our examples. We will not comment further on this issue.

For a modular form f, we let $\operatorname{Red}(f)$ denote the set of primes λ of K such that $\bar{\rho}_{f,\lambda}$ is absolutely reducible. We recall the following well-known facts regarding $\operatorname{Red}(f)$; see [3, Lemma 7.13] for example.

Lemma 5.1. *Let $f = \sum a_n q^n$ be a newform of weight k and level N with coefficient field K. Let λ be a prime of K dividing a rational prime ℓ. Suppose that $\lambda \in \operatorname{Red}(f)$, so that*

$$\bar{\rho}_{f,\lambda} \otimes \bar{k}_\lambda \cong \chi_1 \oplus \chi_2$$

for characters $\chi_1, \chi_2 : G_{\mathbf{Q}} \to \bar{k}_\lambda^\times$. If ℓ does not divide N, then each χ_i has conductor dividing $N\ell$. If also $\ell > k$, then one of the χ_i has conductor dividing N, so that

$$a_p \equiv p^{k-1} + 1 \pmod{\lambda}$$

for all $p \equiv 1 \pmod{N}$.

In practice one uses the second condition to bound the set $\operatorname{Red}(f)$ and the first condition to check each remaining λ not dividing N. For a prime λ dividing N, one can still check that $\bar{\rho}_{f,\lambda}$ is absolutely reducible, but it is much more difficult to show that $\bar{\rho}_{f,\lambda}$ is absolutely irreducible; we will make no attempt to deal with this case below.

For a finite set of places S containing all places dividing $N\infty$, we let $\mathrm{Obs}_S(f)$ denote the set of $\lambda \notin \mathrm{Red}(f)$ such that

$$H^2(G_{\mathbf{Q},S\cup\{\ell\}}, \varepsilon_\ell \otimes \mathrm{ad}\,\bar{\rho}_{f,\lambda}) \neq 0,$$

or equivalently such that the deformation problem associated to

$$\bar{\rho}_{f,\lambda} : G_{\mathbf{Q},S\cup\{\ell\}} \to \mathrm{GL}_2 k_\lambda$$

is obstructed. We simply write $\mathrm{Obs}(f)$ for $\mathrm{Obs}_{\{p|N\infty\}}(f)$.

In the interests of space, we make the following notational conventions. Fix a quadratic extension K of $\mathbf{Q}$ and let p be a rational prime. If p ramifies in K, then we simply write $\mathfrak{p}_p$ for the prime of K above p. If p splits, then we will write $\mathfrak{p}_p$ and $\bar{\mathfrak{p}}_p$ for the two primes of K above p, at least when it is not important to distinguish between them.

5.1. **Weight 12, level 5, trivial character.** There are three newforms of weight 12, level 5, and trivial character. The first has rational Fourier coefficients and q-expansion

$$f_1 = q + 34q^2 - 792q^3 - 892q^4 + 3125q^5 - 26928q^6 - 17556q^7 + \cdots$$

while the other two,

$$\begin{aligned} f_2 = q &+ (-10 + 6\sqrt{151})q^2 + (-110 + 32\sqrt{151})q^3 + (3448 - 120\sqrt{151})q^4 \\ &- 3125q^5 + (30092 - 980\sqrt{151})q^6 + (28950 + 1056\sqrt{151})q^7 + \cdots \end{aligned}$$

and its Galois conjugate, have field of Fourier coefficients $\mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{151})$. Note that $\mathrm{Obs}(\bar{f}_2)$ is simply the set of conjugates of elements of $\mathrm{Obs}(f_2)$, so that it suffices to study f_1 and f_2.

Using Lemma 5.1, one computes that:

$$\mathrm{Red}(f_1) = \{2, 5, 31\};$$
$$\mathrm{Red}(f_2) = \big\{\mathfrak{p}_2, \mathfrak{p}_5, \bar{\mathfrak{p}}_5, (601, 358 + \sqrt{151})\big\}.$$

We now consider congruences. By comparing Fourier coefficients, one sees that f_1 and f_2 are congruent modulo primes above 2 and 5:

$$\mathrm{Cong}_5(f_1) = \{2, 5\};$$
$$\mathrm{Cong}_5(f_2) = \big\{\mathfrak{p}_2, (5, 1 + \sqrt{151})\big\}.$$

The only possible proper congruences is with the unique newform

$$\Delta = q - 24q^2 + 252q^3 - 1472q^4 + 4830q^5 - 6048q^6 - 16744q^7 + \cdots$$

of weight 12 and level 1; one computes that:

$$\mathrm{Cong}_{<5}(f_1) = \{2, 29\};$$
$$\mathrm{Cong}_{<5}(f_2) = \big\{\mathfrak{p}_2, (5, 4 + \sqrt{151}), (131, 46 + \sqrt{151})\big\}.$$

Both f_1 and f_2 are ordinary at 13, so that by Theorems 4.3 and 4.5 we conclude that:

$$\{29\} \subseteq \mathrm{Obs}(f_1) \subseteq \{3, 7, 11, 13, 29\};$$
$$\big\{(131, 46 + \sqrt{151})\big\} \subseteq \mathrm{Obs}(f_2) \subseteq \big\{\mathfrak{p}_3, \bar{\mathfrak{p}}_3, \mathfrak{p}_7, \bar{\mathfrak{p}}_7, (11), (13), (131, 46 + \sqrt{151})\big\}.$$

5.2. **Weight 6, level 30, trivial character.** There are two newforms of weight 6, level 30, and trivial character, both with rational Fourier coefficients:

$$f_1 = q + 4q^2 + 9q^3 - 16q^4 + 25q^5 + 36q^6 + 32q^7 - 192q^8 \\ - 162q^9 + 100q^{10} + 12q^{11} - 144q^{12} - 154q^{13} + \cdots$$

$$f_2 = q - 4q^2 + 9q^3 - 16q^4 - 25q^5 - 36q^6 + 164q^7 + 192q^8 \\ - 162q^9 + 100q^{10} + 720q^{11} - 144q^{12} + 698q^{13} + \cdots$$

Using Lemma 5.1, one computes that:

$$\mathrm{Red}(f_1) = \{2, 3, 5\};$$
$$\{2, 3\} \subseteq \mathrm{Red}(f_2) \subseteq \{2, 3, 5\}.$$

The newforms f_1 and f_2 have a congruence modulo 12 (remember that one only checks the Fourier coefficients with exponent prime to 30), so that:

$$\mathrm{Cong}_{30}(f_1) = \mathrm{Cong}_{30}(f_2) = \{2, 3\}.$$

There are ten newforms of level dividing 30 and trivial character to consider for proper congruences. The most interesting occur for f_2: it has a congruence modulo 19 with the newform

$$q + 7q^2 + 9q^3 + 17q^4 - 25q^5 + 63q^6 + 12q^7 - 105q^8 \\ - 162q^9 - 175q^{10} + 112q^{11} + 153q^{12} - 974q^{13} + \cdots$$

of level 15, and modulo 31 with the newform

$$q - 4q^2 - 26q^3 - 16q^4 - 25q^5 + 104q^6 - 22q^7 + 192q^8 \\ + 433q^9 + 100q^{10} - 768q^{11} + 416q^{12} - 46q^{13} + \cdots$$

of level 10. In any event, one computes:

$$\mathrm{Cong}_{<30}(f_1) = \{2, 3, 5\}; \quad \mathrm{Cong}_{<30}(f_2) = \{2, 3, 19, 31\}.$$

Both f_1 and f_2 are ordinary at 7, so that we conclude that:

$$\mathrm{Obs}(f_1) \subseteq \{7\};$$
$$\{19, 31\} \subseteq \mathrm{Obs}(f_2) \subseteq \{5, 7, 19, 31\}.$$

To give an explicit example of an obstructed set, using (3) of Theorem 4.5 one finds that

$$\mathrm{Obs}_{\{2,3,5,17,\infty\}}(f_1) = \{7\}.$$

5.3. **Weight 3, level 35, character of conductor 7.** There are four newforms of weight 3, level 35, and with quadratic character

$$\omega : (\mathbf{Z}/35\mathbf{Z})^\times \to \{\pm 1\}$$

the Legendre symbol $\left(\frac{\cdot}{7}\right)$. All four are defined over $\mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{-5})$: two are

$$f_1 = q - q^2 - 2\sqrt{-5}q^3 - 3q^4 - \sqrt{-5}q^5 + 2\sqrt{-5}q^6 + 7q^7 + \cdots$$

and its Galois conjugate while the other two are

$$f_2 = q + 2q^2 + \sqrt{-5}q^3 - \sqrt{-5}q^5 + 2\sqrt{-5}q^6 - (2 + 3\sqrt{-5})q^7 + \cdots$$

and its Galois conjugate. As before, it suffices to study f_1 and f_2. Using Lemma 5.1, one finds that:

$$\{\mathfrak{p}_2, \mathfrak{p}_3, \bar{\mathfrak{p}}_3, \mathfrak{p}_5\} \subseteq \mathrm{Red}(f_1) \subseteq \{\mathfrak{p}_2, \mathfrak{p}_3, \bar{\mathfrak{p}}_3, \mathfrak{p}_5, \mathfrak{p}_7, \bar{\mathfrak{p}}_7\}$$
$$\{\mathfrak{p}_3, \bar{\mathfrak{p}}_3\} \subseteq \mathrm{Red}(f_2) \subseteq \{\mathfrak{p}_3, \bar{\mathfrak{p}}_3, \mathfrak{p}_5, \mathfrak{p}_7, \bar{\mathfrak{p}}_7\}.$$

The newforms f_1 and f_2 have a congruence modulo 3, while f_1 and $\bar{f}_2$ have no congruences; thus:

$$\mathrm{Cong}_{35}(f_1) = \mathrm{Cong}_{35}(f_2) = \{\mathfrak{p}_3, \bar{\mathfrak{p}}_3\}.$$

Since ω has conductor 7, the only proper congruences we need to check are with the unique newform

$$q - 3q^2 + 5q^4 - 7q^7 - 3q^8 - 9q^9 - 6q^{11} + \cdots$$

of weight 3, level 7, and character $\left(\frac{\cdot}{7}\right)$. One finds that:

$$\mathrm{Cong}_{<35}(f_1) = \{\mathfrak{p}_2\};$$
$$\mathrm{Cong}_{<35}(f_2) = \{\mathfrak{p}_5\}.$$

Theorem 4.3 allows us to conclude that:

$$\mathrm{Obs}(f_1) \subseteq \{\mathfrak{p}_7, \bar{\mathfrak{p}}_7\}$$
$$\mathrm{Obs}(f_2) \subseteq \{\mathfrak{p}_2, \mathfrak{p}_5, \mathfrak{p}_7, \bar{\mathfrak{p}}_7\}.$$

References

[1] Henri Carayol, *Sur les représentations l-adiques associées aux formes modulaires de Hilbert*, Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4) **19** (1986), no. 3, 409–468.

[2] Fred Diamond, *An extension of Wiles' results*, Modular forms and Fermat's last theorem (Boston, MA, 1995), Springer, New York, 1997, pp. 475–489.

[3] Fred Diamond, Matthias Flach, and Li Guo, *Adjoint motives of modular forms and the Tamagawa number conjecture*, pre-print.

[4] Bas Edixhoven, *Serre's conjecture*, Modular forms and Fermat's last theorem (Boston, MA, 1995), Springer, New York, 1997, pp. 209–242.

[5] Matthias Flach, *A generalisation of the Cassels-Tate pairing*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **412** (1990), 113–127.

[6] Eknath Ghate, *Adjoint L-values and primes of congruence for Hilbert modular forms*, Compositio Math. **132** (2002), no. 3, 243–281.

[7] Haruzo Hida, *Congruence of cusp forms and special values of their zeta functions*, Invent. Math. **63** (1981), no. 2, 225–261.

[8] ———, *On congruence divisors of cusp forms as factors of the special values of their zeta functions*, Invent. Math. **64** (1981), no. 2, 221–262.

[9] Barry Mazur, *Deforming Galois representations*, Galois groups over **Q** (Berkeley, CA, 1987), Math. Sci. Res. Inst. Publ., vol. 16, Springer, New York, 1989, pp. 385–437.

[10] James Milne, *Arithmetic duality theorems*, Perspectives in Mathematics, vol. 1, Academic Press Inc., Boston, MA, 1986.

[11] Kenneth Ribet, *Mod p Hecke operators and congruences between modular forms*, Invent. Math. **71** (1983), no. 1, 193–205.

[12] William Stein, *The modular forms explorer*, available at `http://modular.fas.harvard.edu/mfd/mfe`.

[13] Jacob Sturm, *On the congruence of modular forms*, Number theory (New York, 1984–1985), Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 1240, Springer, Berlin, 1987, pp. 275–280.

[14] Tom Weston, *An overview of a theorem of Flach*, Arithmetic algebraic geometry (Park City, UT, 1999), IAS/Park City Math. Ser., vol. 9, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2001, pp. 345–375.

[15] ———, *Unobstructed modular deformation problems*, preprint.

E-mail address: weston@math.berkeley.edu

Department of Mathematics, University of California, Berkeley